ALLTEL CORPORATION

655 15th Street N.W. Suite 220 Washington, DC 20005 202-783-3970

202-783-3982 fax

September 4, 1998



DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

FEDERAL COMMINANCA TIONS COMMISSION

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 222 Washington, DC 20554

Re:

1998 Biennial Regulatory Review --

Review of Accounting and Costs

Allocation Requirements

United States Telephone Association

Petition for Rulemaking CC Docket No. 98-81 ASD File No. 98-64

Dear Ms. Salas:

Enclosed for filing on behalf of ALLTEL Communications Services Corporation ("ALLTEL") please find an original and nine (9) copies of its reply comments in connection with the above-referenced matter.

Also, in accordance with the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking adopted June 2, 1998 and released, June 17, 1998, I am sending a copy of ALLTEL's reply comments to Warren Firschein of the Accounting Safeguards Division.

Please address any questions respecting this matter to the undersigned counsel.

Very truly yours,

ALLTEL Communications

Services Corporation

Capolyn C. Hill

Its Attorney

CCH/ss

Enclosures

cc:

(w/encl.)

Kathryn C. Brown, Chief, Common Carrier Bureau Warren Firschein, Accounting Safeguards Division International Transcription Service, Inc.

No. of Copies rec'd List ABCDE

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)	
)	
1998 Biennial Regulatory Review)	CC Docket No. 98-81
Review of Accounting and Costs)	
Allocation Requirements)	
)	
United States Telephone Association)	ASD File No. 98-64
Petition for Rulemaking)	

Reply Comments of ALLTEL Communications Services Corporation

ALLTEL Communications Services Corporation, on behalf of its local telephone exchange affiliates (hereinafter "ALLTEL" or the ALLTEL companies"), respectfully submits its reply to the comments filed on July 17, 1998, in the above-captioned matter.

In the NPRM, the Commission, as part of the biennial review process required under Section 11 of the 96 Telecom Act, has proposed to modify its accounting and cost allocation rules for mid-sized LECs. In its comments, ALLTEL generally supported the NPRM, but urged the Commission to move beyond piecemeal regulatory relief and to grant the pending petition for forbearance filed by ITTA on behalf of two-percent LECs, such as ALLTEL. ITTA's petition identified nine Commission requirements which impose unnecessary record-keeping and reporting requirement on two-percent LECs. Among those are those requiring Class A accounting, CAM filings and audits, and ARMIS reports for

those LECs having annual operating revenue exceeding a threshold, as adjusted for inflation, each year.

In looking at the other comments filed herein, the only party opposing the proposed relief for mid-sized LECs was MCI. It encouraged the Commission to deny any relief to the mid-sized LECs, insisting that in the future competitive transactions of mid-sized LECs are likely to increase substantially, therefore necessitating that the status quo be maintained. Notably, MCI was unable to cite any instance in which the Commission had found that the use of Class A accounting had resulted in the detection of tariff violations by mid-sized LECs. Not did it demonstrate how Class A accounting had assisted the Commission in any tariff investigation involving a mid-sized LEC.

As another basis for denying the proposed relief, MCI argued that the cost associated with maintaining Class A accounting and complying with the CAM requirements are minimal for mid-sized LECs. ALLTEL submits that these costs are not minimal. First, LECs required to file a CAM must pay for an annual outside audit, plus any FCC audit costs. Second, there is not a general ledger software system that is designed for Part 32. Rather, when the software is purchased, it must be modified to accommodate Part 32 requirements. These costs are also not insubstantial - ALLTEL has found that these modifications alone can add at least fifteen percent (15%) to its general ledger software costs. Moreover, as pointed out in ALLTEL's comments, ALLTEL does not use Part 32 as the basis for managerial decision making. Nor does it keep accounting records in greater detail than is required by the FCC. What we currently must do and what causes the perception that we keep our records in greater detail than that required by the Commission

is the fact that we are required to keep two sets of books - one for SEC and investor purposes and the second for regulatory purposes. This is in marked contrast to the less onerous procedures companies such as MCI are allowed follow. They are free to keep one set of books, to adopt and streamline their accounting systems, as needed, and to follow GAAP.

In summary, MCI has provided no basis for its arguments that the regulatory relief proposed should not be extended to mid-sized LECs. The Commission, as set forth in our comments, should now proceed to grant the ITTA forbearance petition.

Respectfully submitted,

ALLTEL Communications Services Corporation

By: Carolyn C. Hill

Its Attorney

655 15th Street, N.W.

Suite 220

Washington, D.C. 20005

(202) 783-3970

Dated: September 4, 1998

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Carolyn C. Hill, do certify that I have on this 4th day of September, 1998 caused a copy of the foregoing Reply Comments of ALLTEL Communications Services Corporation to be served by first-class U.S. mail, postage prepaid, to the persons on the attached service list, unless otherwise noted.

Carolyn C. Hill

John F. Raposa, Esquire GTE Service Corporation 600 Hidden Ridge, HQE03J27 P.O. Box 152092 Irving, TX 75015-2092

Lawrence E. Sarjeant/Linda L. Kent Keith Townsend Attorneys for United States Telephone Association 1401 H Street, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, DC 20005

James T. Hannon, Esquire U S West, Inc. 1020 19th Street, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, DC 200036

David W. Zesiger, Executive Director Donn T. Wonnell, Counsel for The Independent Telephone & Telecommunications Alliance 1300 Connecticut Avenue, Suite 600 Washington, DC 20036

Jay C. Keithley, Esquire Sprint Local Telephone Companies 1850 M Street, N.W., 11th Floor Washington, DC 20036-5807

Alan Buzacott, Regulatory Analyst MCI Telecommunications Corporation 1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20006

Nancy Rue, Esquire
Attorney for Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company
FROST & JACOBS LLP
2500 PNC Center
201 East Fifth Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

Andre J. Lachance, Esquire GTE Service Corporation 1850 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036

M. Robert Sutherland
Stephen L. Earnest
Bellsouth Corporation and Bellsouth Telecomm., Inc.
1155 Peachtree Street, N.E.
Suite 1700
Atlanta. GA 30309-3610

Kathryn A. Zachem J. Wade Lindsay Wilkinson, Barker, Knauer & Quinn LLP 2330 N Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20037

Neal T. Kilminster, Associate General Counsel John E. Benedict, Senior Counsel COMSAT Corporation 6560 Rock Spring Drive Bethesda, MD 20817

Sandra K. Williams, Esquire Sprint Local Telephone Companies PO Box 11315 Kansas City, MO 64112

Leander R. Valent, Esquire Counsel for Ameritech 9525 West Bryn Mawr, Suite 600 Rosemont, IL 60018

Edward Shakin, Esquire Attorney for the Bell Atlantic telephone companies 1320 North Court House Road Eighth Floor Arlington, VA 22201 Gregg C. Sayre, General Attorney Frontier Telephone of Rochester, Inc. 180 South Clinton Avenue Rochester, NY 14646

Kathryn C. Brown, Chief Common Carrier Bureau Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Room 500 Washington, DC 20554 (by hand)

Michael J. Ettner, Senior Assistant General Counsel General Services Administration 1800 F Street, N.W. Room 4002 Washington, DC 20405 Robert M. Lynch/Durward D. Dupre Attorneys for Southwestern Bell Telephone Company/Pacific Bell and Nevada Bell One Bell Plaza, Room 3022 Dallas, Texas 75202

Mr. Warren Firschein Accounting Safeguards Division Common Carrier Bureau Federal Communications Commission 2000 L Street, N.W., Suite 200 Washington, DC 20554 (by hand)

International Transcription Services 1231 20th Street, N.W. First Floor Washington, DC 20036 (by hand)